

Editorial

Balancing Risks and Benefits: Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Old Age

Noor Dastgir

Assistant Prof. Department of Cardiology, Allama Iqbal Medical College Lahore

How to cite this:

Dastgir N. Balancing Risks and Benefits: Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Old Age. J Pak Soc Intern Med. 2023;4(3): 188-189

Corresponding Author: Dr. Noor Dastgir

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70302/jpsim.v4i3.2337>

Email: noordastgir@gmail.com

Introduction

As medical advancements continue to extend the average human lifespan, the healthcare community faces new challenges in providing optimal care for the elderly population. One such challenge is the management of cardiovascular diseases, particularly coronary artery disease (CAD), which is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in older adults¹. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI), commonly known as angioplasty with stent placement, has become a standard treatment for CAD. However, its application in older individuals warrants careful consideration due to unique physiological complexities and age-related vulnerabilities².

Undeniably, PCI has revolutionized the treatment of CAD, significantly improving outcomes for many patients. Through a minimally invasive procedure, blocked or narrowed coronary arteries can be opened, alleviating angina symptoms, improving cardiac function, and reducing the risk of myocardial infarction. Nevertheless, in the context of elderly patients, decision-making concerning PCI should be based on a thoughtful evaluation of the potential benefits weighed against the risks.

Older adults often present with a higher prevalence of comorbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension, and renal impairment, which can complicate the procedure and recovery process. Furthermore, aging blood vessels may be more prone to calcification³, making the intervention technically challenging. Additionally, the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy, bleeding, and other procedural complications tends to be elevated in this age group.

Despite these concerns, age alone should not preclude older patients from benefiting from PCI. Individualized patient assessment, careful risk

stratification, and shared decision-making between the patient, their family, and the healthcare team are crucial steps in determining the most appropriate treatment approach.

One key consideration is the patient's overall health status and life expectancy. If an elderly individual has a limited life expectancy and multiple comorbidities, the potential benefits of PCI may be diminished. In such cases, conservative management with medication and lifestyle modifications might be a more suitable approach to control symptoms and maintain quality of life.

Conversely, for healthy older adults with significant symptoms and a favorable life expectancy, PCI can be highly beneficial⁴. It can enhance their quality of life by relieving angina and improving functional capacity. Furthermore, recent advances in technology have led to the development of drug-eluting stents that reduce the likelihood of restenosis and improve the long-term efficacy of PCI.

The role of the multidisciplinary healthcare team is pivotal in the decision-making process⁵. Cardiologists, geriatricians, and other specialists must collaborate to assess the patient's global health, cognitive status, and functional ability. This holistic approach is essential in identifying frailty or cognitive impairments that may impact procedural outcomes and post-PCI adherence to medication and lifestyle modifications⁶.

Moreover, promoting patient and family education is vital to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the risks, benefits, and potential alternatives to PCI. Shared decision-making empowers patients to actively participate in their care and aligns the treatment plan with their individual values and preferences.

In conclusion, Percutaneous Coronary Intervention remains a valuable tool in managing coronary artery disease, even in old age. However, its application in elderly patients requires a careful evaluation of individual health status, life expectancy, and preferences. A collaborative approach between patients, their families, and healthcare providers is crucial to arrive at a well-informed decision. By embracing a patient-centered perspective and considering each case on its merits, we can strike the delicate balance between risks and benefits and provide elderly patients with the best possible care.

References:

1. Capranzano P, Angiolillo DJ. Antithrombotic management of elderly patients with coronary artery disease. *Cardiovascular Interventions*. 2021 Apr 12;14(7):723-38.
2. Kumar S, McDaniel M, Samady H, Forouzandeh F. Contemporary revascularization dilemmas in older adults. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2020 Feb 4;9(3):e014477.
3. Newman AB, Naydeck BL, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Feldman A, Edmundowicz D, Kuller LH. Coronary artery calcification in older adults to age 99: prevalence and risk factors. *Circulation*. 2001 Nov 27;104(22):2679-84.
4. Shanmugam VB, Harper R, Meredith I, Malaiapan Y, Psaltis PJ. An overview of PCI in the very elderly. *Journal of geriatric cardiology: JGC*. 2015 Mar;12(2):174.
5. Nanna MG, Sutton NR, Kochar A, Rymer JA, Lowenstern AM, Gackebach G, Hummel SL, Goyal P, Rich MW, Kirkpatrick JN, Krishnaswami A. Assessment and Management of Older Adults Undergoing PCI, Part 1: A JACC: Advances Expert Panel. *JACC: Advances*. 2023 Jun 1;2(4):100389.
6. Morici N, De Servi S, De Luca L, Crimi G, Montalto C, De Rosa R, De Luca G, Rubboli A, Valgimigli M, Savonitto S. Management of acute coronary syndromes in older adults. *European Heart Journal*. 2022 Apr 21;43(16):1542-53.